

JUDGE GORDON

11 CIV 0525

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING
COMMISSION,

Plaintiff,

v.


INVESTTECHFX TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

Defendant.

CIVIL ACTION NO.:

COMPLAINT FOR PERMANENT
INJUNCTION, CIVIL MONETARY
PENALTIES, AND OTHER EQUITABLE
RELIEF

(ECF Case)



Plaintiff, Commodity Futures Trading Commission ("Commission" or "CFTC"), by its attorneys, alleges as follows:

I. SUMMARY

1. On October 18, 2010, the CFTC enacted new regulations implementing certain provisions of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010 ("Dodd-Frank"), Pub. L. No. 111-203, Title VII (the Wall Street Transparency and Accountability Act of 2010), §§701-774, 124 Stat. 1376 (enacted July 21, 2010), and the Food, Conservation, and Energy Act of 2008, Pub. L. No. 110-246, Title XIII (the CFTC Reauthorization Act of 2008 ("CRA")), §§ 13101-13204, 122 Stat. 1651 (enacted June 18, 2008), to be codified at 7 U.S.C. §§ 1 et seq., with respect to off-exchange foreign currency ("forex") transactions. Pursuant to Section 2(c)(2)(C)(iii)(I)(aa) of the Commodity Exchange Act ("the Act"), as amended by the CRA, to be codified at 7 U.S.C. § 2(c)(2)(C)(iii)(I)(aa), an entity must be registered if it wants to solicit or accept orders from a non-Eligible Contract Participant ("ECP") in connection with forex transactions at a retail foreign exchange dealer ("RFED") or futures commission merchant. Pursuant to CFTC Regulation ("Regulation") 5.3(a)(6)(i), to be codified at 17 C.F.R. §

5.3(a)(6)(i), in connection with forex transactions, all RFEDs must be registered with the CFTC as of October 18, 2010.

2. Beginning on October 18, 2010 and continuing to the present (“the relevant period”), InvesttechFX Technologies, Inc. (“InvesttechFX” or “Defendant”), while acting as an RFED, solicited orders from non-ECPs in connection with forex transactions without registering as an RFED with the CFTC in violation of Section 2(c)(2)(C)(iii)(I)(aa) of the Act, as amended by the CRA, to be codified at 7 U.S.C. § 2(c)(2)(C)(iii)(I)(aa), and Regulation 5.3(a)(6)(i), to be codified at 17 C.F.R. § 5.3(a)(6)(i).

3. By virtue of this conduct and the further conduct described herein, Defendant has engaged, is engaging, or is about to engage in acts and practices in violation of the Act, as amended by the CRA, and the CFTC Regulations (“Regulations”).

4. Accordingly, pursuant to Section 6c of the Act, as amended by the CRA, to be codified at 7 U.S.C. § 13a-1, and Section 2(c)(2) of the Act, as amended by the CRA, to be codified at 7 U.S.C. § 2(c)(2), the Commission brings this action to enjoin Defendant’s unlawful acts and practices and to compel its compliance with the Act, as amended by the CRA, and the Regulations and to further enjoin InvesttechFX from engaging in certain commodity or forex-related activity, including, through its website, soliciting customers or offering to be the counterparty to customers’ forex transactions, without appropriate registration with the Commission.

5. In addition, the Commission seeks civil monetary penalties and remedial ancillary relief, including, but not limited to, trading and registration bans, disgorgement, rescission, pre- and post-judgment interest, and such other relief as the Court may deem necessary and appropriate.

6. Unless restrained and enjoined by this Court, Defendant likely will continue to engage in the acts and practices alleged in this Complaint and similar acts and practices, as more fully described below.

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

7. Section 6c(a) of the Act, as amended by the CRA, to be codified at 7 U.S.C. § 13a-1(a), authorizes the Commission to seek injunctive relief against any person whenever it shall appear to the Commission that such person has engaged, is engaging, or is about to engage in any act or practice constituting a violation of the Act or any rule, regulation, or order thereunder.

8. The Commission has jurisdiction over the conduct and transactions at issue in this case pursuant to Section 6c of the Act, as amended by the CRA, to be codified at 7 U.S.C. § 13a-1, and Section 2(c)(2) of the Act, as amended by the CRA, to be codified at 7 U.S.C. § 2(c)(2).

9. Venue properly lies with the Court pursuant to Section 6c(e) of the Act, as amended by the CRA, to be codified at 7 U.S.C. § 13a-1(e), because Defendant solicits business in this District through its website.

III. PARTIES

10. Plaintiff **Commodity Futures Trading Commission** is an independent federal regulatory agency charged with the administration and enforcement of the Act, 7 U.S.C. §§ 1 *et seq.*, and the Regulations thereunder, 17 C.F.R. §§ 1.1. *et seq.*

11. Defendant **InvesttechFX Technologies, Inc.** is a Canadian Business Corporation with its principal place of business located at 4915 Bathurst Street, Unit #209, Toronto, Ontario

M2R1X9, Canada. InvesttechFX was incorporated on July 9, 2007. InvesttechFX has never been registered with the Commission in any capacity.

IV. STATUTORY BACKGROUND

12. For the purposes of trading forex, a “retail foreign exchange dealer” is defined in Regulation 5.1(h)(1), to be codified at 17 C.F.R. § 5.1(h)(1), as any person that is, or offers to be, the counterparty to a retail forex transaction, except for a person described in sub-paragraph (aa), (bb), (cc)(AA), (dd), (ee), or (ff) of Section 2(c)(2)(B)(i)(II) of the Act, as amended by the CRA, to be codified at 7 U.S.C. § 2(c)(2)(B)(i)(II). These exceptions pertain to certain financial institutions, brokers and dealers registered under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and associated persons thereof, futures commission merchants and affiliated persons thereof, financial holding companies, and RFEDs registered with the Commission, and do not apply to InvesttechFX.

13. An ECP is defined by the Act, in relevant part, as an individual with total assets in excess of (i) \$10 million, or (ii) \$5 million and who enters the transaction “to manage the risk associated with an asset owned or liability incurred, or reasonably likely to be owned or incurred, by the individual.” *See* Section 1a(12)(A)(xi) of the Act, as amended by the CRA, to be codified at 7 U.S.C. § 1a(12)(A)(xi).

V. FACTS

14. On October 18, 2010, the Commission enacted new regulations implementing certain provisions of Dodd-Frank and the CRA. For the purposes of forex transactions, the new regulations, among other things, require RFEDs to register with the CFTC.

15. InvesttechFX solicited customers who are not ECPs to send money to it to open an account and trade forex through its website. InvesttechFX acted as an RFED by acting as counterparty to a retail forex transaction entered into by its customers.

16. Through its website, www.investtechfx.com, InvesttechFX offered to the general public the opportunity to open an account and trade in forex on a margined or leveraged basis.

17. On its website, www.investtechfx.com, InvesttechFX prompted customers to directly open an account.

18. InvesttechFX is a Straight Through Processing (STP) party, and thus it was acting and offering to act as a counterparty to its customers.

19. InvesttechFX accepted customer funds through wire transfers, checks or payments through online payment companies, such as Paypal.

20. On information and belief, the forex transactions InvesttechFX engages in neither result in delivery within two days nor create an enforceable obligation to deliver between a seller and a buyer who have the ability to deliver and accept delivery, respectively, in connection with their lines of business. Rather, these forex contracts remain open from day to day and ultimately are offset without anyone making or taking delivery of actual currency (or facing an obligation to do so).

21. Thus, InvesttechFX has been and is acting as an RFED and soliciting non-ECPs to trade forex at InvesttechFX.

22. But, as of the date of filing this complaint, InvesttechFX has not registered with the CFTC, as required by the Regulations.

23. On information and belief, InvesttechFX is not exempt from registration by virtue of meeting any of the descriptions in sub-paragraph (aa), (bb), (cc)(AA), (dd), (ee), or (ff) of Section 2(c)(2)(B)(i)(II) of the Act.

VI. VIOLATIONS OF THE COMMODITY EXCHANGE ACT

COUNT ONE:

**VIOLATION OF SECTION 2(c)(2)(C)(iii)(I)(aa) OF THE ACT, AS AMENDED BY THE CRA
FAILURE TO REGISTER**

24. Paragraphs 1 through 23 are realleged and incorporated herein.

25. During the relevant period, InvesttechFX, solicited or accepted orders from non-ECPs in connection with forex transactions at an RFED. InvesttechFX engaged in this conduct without being registered as an RFED, as required by Regulation 5.3(a)(6)(i), to be codified at 17 C.F.R. § 5.3(a)(6)(i), all in violation of Section 2(c)(2)(C)(iii)(I)(aa) of the Act, as amended by the CRA, to be codified at 7 U.S.C. § 2(c)(2)(C)(iii)(I)(aa).

26. Each day that InvesttechFX engaged in this conduct since October 18, 2010 is alleged as a separate and distinct violation of Section 2(c)(2)(C)(iii)(I)(aa) of the Act, as amended by the CRA, to be codified at 7 U.S.C. § 2(c)(2)(C)(iii)(I)(aa).

COUNT TWO:

**VIOLATION OF REGULATION 5.3(a)(6)(i)
FAILURE TO REGISTER AS A RETAIL FOREIGN EXCHANGE DEALER**

27. Paragraphs 1 through 23 are realleged and incorporated herein.

28. As set out in Paragraphs 1 through 23, during the relevant period, InvesttechFX acted as an RFED, as defined in Regulation 5.1(h)(1), to be codified at 17 C.F.R. § 5.1(h)(1), and failed to register as an RFED, in violation of Regulation 5.3(a)(6)(i), to be codified at 17 C.F.R. § 5.3(a)(6)(i).

29. Each day that InvesttechFX failed to register as an RFED since October 18, 2010 is alleged as a separate and distinct violation of Regulation 5.3(a)(6)(i), to be codified at 17 C.F.R. § 5.3(a)(6)(i).

VII. RELIEF REQUESTED

WHEREFORE, the CFTC respectfully requests that this Court, as authorized by Section 6c of the Act, as amended by the CRA, to be codified at 7 U.S.C. § 13a-1, and pursuant to its own equitable powers, enter:

- A. An order finding that InvesttechFX violated Section 2(c)(2)(C)(iii)(I)(aa) of the Act, as amended by the CRA, to be codified at 7 U.S.C. § 2(c)(2)(C)(iii)(I)(aa);
- B. An order finding that InvesttechFX violated Regulation 5.3(a)(6)(i), to be codified at 17 C.F.R. § 5.3(a)(6)(i);
- C. An order of permanent injunction prohibiting InvesttechFX, and any other person or entity associated with it, from engaging in conduct in violation of Section 2(c)(2)(C)(iii)(I)(aa) of the Act, as amended by the CRA and the Dodd-Frank Act, to be codified at 7 U.S.C. § 2(c)(2)(C)(iii)(I)(aa);
- D. An order of permanent injunction prohibiting InvesttechFX, and any other person or entity associated with it, from engaging in conduct in violation of Regulation 5.3(a)(6)(i), to be codified at 17 C.F.R. § 5.3(a)(6)(i);
- E. An order of permanent injunction prohibiting InvesttechFX, and any other person or entity associated with it or its website, from operating its website while in violation of Section 2(c)(2)(C)(iii)(I)(aa) of the Act, as amended by the CRA and the Dodd-Frank Act, to be codified at 7 U.S.C. § 2(c)(2)(C)(iii)(I)(aa), and Regulation 5.3(a)(6)(i), to be codified at 17 C.F.R. § 5.3(a)(6)(i);

F. An order of permanent injunction prohibiting InvesttechFX and any successor thereof, from, directly or indirectly:

- 1) Trading on or subject to the rules of any registered entity (as that term is defined in Section 1a of the Act, as amended by the CRA and the Dodd-Frank Act, to be codified at 7 U.S.C. § 1a);
- 2) Entering into any transactions involving commodity futures, options on commodity futures, commodity options (as that term is defined in Regulation 32.1(b)(1), 17 C.F.R. § 32.1(b)(1) (2010)) (“commodity options”), and/or foreign currency (as described in Section 2(c)(2)(B) and 2(c)(2)(C)(i) of the Act, as amended by the by the CRA and the Dodd-Frank Act, to be codified at 7 U.S.C. § 2(c)(2)(B) and 2(c)(2)(C)(i)) (“forex contracts”) for its own personal account or for any account in which it has a direct or indirect interest;
- 3) Having any commodity futures, options on commodity futures, commodity options, and/or forex contracts traded on its behalf;
- 4) Controlling or directing the trading for or on behalf of any other person or entity, whether by power of attorney or otherwise, in any account involving commodity futures, options on commodity futures, commodity options, and/or forex contracts;
- 5) Soliciting, receiving or accepting any funds from any person for the purpose of purchasing or selling any commodity futures, options on commodity futures, commodity options, and/or forex contracts;
- 6) Applying for registration or claiming exemption from registration with the CFTC in any capacity, and engaging in any activity requiring such registration or

exemption from registration with the CFTC except as provided for in Regulation 4.14(a)(9), 17 C.F.R. § 4.14(a)(9) (2010); and

7) Acting as a principal (as that term is defined in Regulation 3.1(a), 17 C.F.R. § 3.1(a) (2010)), agent or any other officer or employee of any person registered, exempted from registration or required to be registered with the CFTC except as provided for in Regulation 4.14(a)(9), 17 C.F.R. § 4.14(a)(9) (2010).

G. Enter an order requiring Defendant, as well as any successors to it to disgorge to any officer appointed or directed by the Court all benefits received including, but not limited to, salaries, commissions, loans, fees, revenues and trading profits derived, directly or indirectly, from acts or practices that constitute violations of the Act, as amended by the CRA, and the Regulations, including pre- and post-judgment interest;

H. Enter an order directing Defendant and any successors thereof, to rescind, pursuant to such procedures as the Court may order, all contracts and agreements, whether implied or express, entered into between it and any of the customers whose funds were received by it as a result of the acts and practices which constituted violations of the Act, as amended by the CRA, and the Regulations as described herein;

I. Enter an order requiring Defendant to pay civil monetary penalties under the Act, to be assessed by the Court, in amounts of not more than the higher of (1) triple the monetary gain to Defendant for each violation of the Act, as amended by the CRA, and the Regulations or (2) \$140,000 for each violation committed on or after October 23, 2008;

J. Enter an order requiring Defendant to pay costs and fees as permitted by 28 U.S.C. §§ 1920 and 2412(a)(2) (2006); and

K. . . Enter an Order providing such other and further relief as this Court may deem necessary and appropriate under the circumstances.

Respectfully submitted,

Attorney for
PLAINTIFF COMMODITY FUTURES
TRADING COMMISSION

Stephen J. Obie
Associate Director/Regional Counsel

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Manal Sultan', is written over a horizontal line.

Manal Sultan
Chief Trial Attorney

msultan@cftc.gov

Nathan B. Ploener
Senior Trial Attorney

nploener@cftc.gov

Commodity Futures Trading Commission
Division of Enforcement
140 Broadway, 19th Floor
New York, NY 10005
Telephone: (646) 746-9700
Fax: (646) 746-9940